

Cancel claim 23 without prejudice.

Claim 24, line 1, delete "claim 23" and insert - claim 18 -.

Claim 25, line 1, delete "claim 23" and insert - claim 18 -.

REMARKS

With this Amendment, claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9-22 and 24-49 remain in this application. The rejected claims have been amended to incorporate the subject matter of certain allowable claims, as noted below.

Independent claim 1 has been amended to add the subject matter of allowable claim 8 including the subject matter of its preceding claim 5.

Accordingly, claims 5 and 8 have been cancelled. Also, the objection to the use of "disbursing" has been corrected by replacing the term with "dispensing" as suggested by the examiner. Thus, amended claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-4, 6, 7 and 9 should now be in condition for allowance.

Claim 10 has been rewritten in independent form to incorporate certain of the language of its parent claim 1 and the subject matter of allowable claim 12. Because the claim 12 language on the slanted walls for deflecting sideways the frontal air is unique and has been added to claim 10, certain other language in parent claim 1 on the dispersing means and larger size inlet opening has been deleted to provide a different scope of protection. Claim 11 was indicated as containing allowable subject matter and now conforms to the language of claim 10. Claim 12 has been amended to specify in more detail that there are at least two inlet openings (e.g. left side and right side openings or scoops 40) and the slanted walls direct the frontal air in different directions (e.g. to the right or to the left and away from the center nose region, see p. 11, lines 1-14). Furthermore, dependent claim 13 and dependent claim 15 have each been made dependent on rewritten claim 10. Thus, it is believed that claims 10 to 17 as now amended should be in condition for allowance.

Independent claim 18 has been rewritten to incorporate the subject matter of allowable claim 23, which therefore has been cancelled. Claims 24 and



25 which previously had been indicated as having allowable subject matter and were originally dependent on claim 23 have now been made dependent on claim 18 (which incorporates the subject matter of claim 23). Accordingly, amended claims 18 to 22 and 24 to 29 should now be allowable.

It is noted that claims 30 to 49 were already allowed. Thus, it is believed that all claims are now in condition for allowance.

Reconsideration and allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Ronald L. Wanke
Ronald L. Wanke
Reg. No. 22,725

JENNER & BLOCK
One IBM Plaza
Chicago, Illinois 60611
(312) 923-2945

Date: May 31, 2000

Document Number : 999997

A